	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/825,304	AGRAWAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason E. Mattis	2665
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Jason E. Mattis.	(3)	
(2) Raymond Glenny.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 August 2005</u>	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
7 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed minor changes to claims 7 and 19 to put the case in		WAO DIOGOGOLD.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• •	- '' '